Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,527	VOLKENING, FRED ALL	_AN
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2613	
All Participants:	Status of Applicati	on:	•
(1) <u>Shi K. Li</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Patrick J. Finnan</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007	Time: <u>3:30 p.m.</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 48, 50, 52-54			
Prior art documents discussed: Davis (U.S. Patent 6,901,224 B1)	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:	
We discuss instant invention and agree that claim 2 clear 50 and 52-54 recite negative limitation and are not relevant		n prior art. We also agree that clain	n 48,
Part III.		·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substant of the interview, since the interview	tance
	•		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•			
		·	
<u> </u>			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		